

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,795	09/13/2000	Ralph Stankowski	MCA-470	7343
759	03/26/2003			
Timothy J. King Esq.			EXAMINER	
Mykrolis Corporation 129 Concord Road			POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
Billerica, MA				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724 DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appligation No. Applicant(s)
	09/660, 795 Stankowski
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	Popovics 1724
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appa	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —
P ried for Reply	_/
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE There MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	OB (RCE)
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	() () ()
☐ This action is FIMAL.	<i>'</i>
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 1-4 AND 10	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(a)	io/ove ellevised
☐ Claim(s) 5-9	is/are rejected.
/ □ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
	iected to by the Examiner
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	••••••
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) 	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority. 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received.
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	iy under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). In received. In received in Application No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-{d} □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No Ents have been received
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	iy under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No In the state of the sta
□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received:	iy under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No In the state of the sta
□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received:	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No In received been received In all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No Bents have been received In all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

Office Action Summary





Application/Control Number: 09/660,795

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 07, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The meaning of the recitation "said cartridge being free of an open void volume which causes separation of particles from said slurry upstream of a top surface of said depth filter in contact with said slurry" is not understood. This recitation states that separation takes place before the fluid encounters the surface of the filter. Thus, it is unclear why the filter is needed, or what function it performs.

Request for An Interview

4. The Examiner requests that Applicant contact the Examiner to discuss the invention.





Application/Control Number: 09/660,795

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Objections

5. As drafted, claim 5 defining specific manipulative steps that Applicant regards as his invention is somewhat awkward. Applicant is urged to draft claim 5 in the format suggested by 37 CFR 1.75(I) "Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation." Such format will make the claim easier to read and understand.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Popovics at telephone number (703) 308-0684.

rjp

March 23, 2003

ROBERT POPOVICS